

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	G DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/815,125	03/31/2004		William G. Sheridan	EH-11143	9213
Pratt & Whitne	7590 09/12/2007 .			EXAMINER .	
M/S 132-13	•			KERSHTEYN, IGOR	
400 Main Street East Hartford, CT 06108				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·			3745		
				MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
				09/12/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/815,125	SHERIDAN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
-	Igor Kershteyn	3745				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	•					
Period for Reply		•				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Ju	<u>ne 2007</u> .					
· <u> </u>	,—					
·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-12,14,17,19 and 20</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10-12 and 17</u> is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-9,19,20</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	coloction requirement					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	г.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. ☐. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)		÷				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 06/26/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Claims 1-3, 5-12, 14, 17-20 are now pending. Claims 4, 13, 15, 16, and 18 are cancelled. Claims 1, 5, 10 are amended.

In tha arguments, Applicant generally states "The structure that the examiner alleges meets the deoiler limitations in either of the prior art references to Thebert and Giersdorf, et al. are simply rotating members without a casing." This statemt is not agreed with because both, Thebert and Giesdorf, have casings surrounding impellers.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, 5, 7-9, 15, 19, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Giersdorf et al. (5,114,446).

In figures 1-5, Giesdorf et al. teach a deoiler 16 capable of communicating with a source of air contaminated with oil, comprising: at least one separator 20,22 for separating the oil from the air; and a source of suction including a compressor for

Application/Control Number: 10/815,125

Art Unit: 3745

compressing air, said compressor including a rotor (See figure 2), and a casing 34 surrounding said rotor for reducing pressure at the source of the contaminated air.

Claims 1-3, 5-7, 14, 19, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Thebert (4,683,714).

In figures 1-2c, Thebert teaches a deoiler capable of communicating with a source of air contaminated with oil, comprising: at least one separator 118 for separating the oil from the air; and a source of suction including a compressor for compressing air, said compressor including a rotor 102 and a casing 78 surrounding said rotor for reducing pressure at the source of the contaminated air.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 10-12, and 17 are allowed.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPÉP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Art Unit: 3745

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Contact information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Kershteyn whose telephone number is (571)272-4817. The examiner can be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Look, can be reached on **(571)272-4820**. The fax number is 571-273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308 0861.

IK August 6, 2007

/Igor Kershteyn/ Primary Patent examiner. Art Unit 3745